# Research on the Use of Seed Preparation Methods for the Generative Production of Seedlings in the Species *Evodia danielii* (Benn.) Hemsl.

## Poşta Daniela Sabina<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>BUASVM "Regele Mihai I al României" from Timisoara, Faculty of Horticulture and Forestry

\*Corresponding author. Email: posta.daniela@gmail.com

**Abstract** Evodia danielii (Benn.) Hemsl. is a tree 10 m high, with pubescent, red-brown shoots with large lenticels. The leaves have 7-11 folioles 5-10 cm ovate to oblong ovate, acuminate, rounded at the basis, light green on the underside, with 3-4 mm white flowers grouped into corymbs of 10-16 cm. The fruits are bivalve pods with brown-blackish, bright seeds.

The Genus *Evodia* covers about 50 species native from East-South Asia, Australia and Polynesia, two of which are cultivated in Romania. Ornamental species, they are appreciated for their delicate foliage and are recommended for large parks where the goal is to make the landscape sober. Their culture is successful on light soils, in harboured places. Multiplication can be done through seeds or grafting seedlings of *Phellodendron* [1].

Biometric measurements on seedlings concerned plant size. The experiment was monofactorial and aimed at producing seedlings generatively using different methods of seed preparation.

In Korea, they carried out studies on the isolation of four crystal compounds in the bark, pods, and seed oil. Three of these were shown to be methyl sinapate, bergapten and evodiamine [2]. Wang Zhen-yi et al., in 2005, carried out a study on the growth of the species *Evodia danielii* [3]. Duan Da-juan et al., in 2007, studied the re-planting of seedlings from the seeds of *Evodia daniellii* [4].

From the leaves of *Evodia danielii*, they isolated four flavonoid glycosides, flavaprin, evodioside B, vitexin and hesperidin, as well as the coumarins bergapten, xanthotoxin, and isopimpinellin, the lignan simplex-oside, the steroids  $\beta$ -sitosterol and daucosterol, the limonoids isolimonexic acid and limonin and uracil andmyo-inositol, and structures of these compounds were established from spectral data [5].

From the bark of *Evodia danielii* (Rutaceae), limonin and four isoquinoline alkaloids were isolated, and the latter four alkaloids were identified as 6,7-dimethexyhydrastine, gandharamine, isocorydine and palmatine p-hydroxybenzoate [6].

Evodia danielii can be trimmed and it is planted rather around parking sites or along the streets because it tolerates urban environments well. During summer, it produces abundant flowers and attracts many honeybees with its nectar-rich flowers. This species is resistant to the attack by diseases and pests [7]. In the species Evodia hupehensis Dode., a study evaluated the influence of presowing treatments (seeds kept at room temperature, seeds kept at room temperature and treated with magnetic water, and seeds stratified at 1-

#### **Key words**

Evodia danielii (Benn.) Hemsl., seeds, germination, preparation type

5°C in moist sand prior to sowing in early spring) on the biochemical parameters and seedling production [8].

### **Biological Material and Method**

To produce seedlings in a short period, we need to prepare seeds before sowing to speed up their germination.

The experiment was monofactorial with three experimental variants with 5 replicates, as follows:

 $a_0$  – no preparation seeds (control);

 $a_1$  – seeds stratified in the sand on February 24, 2014;  $a_2$  – seeds moistened in warm water at 25°C, for 24 hours.

Sowing was done on March 24, 2014, in a nutritive mixture of 50% manure and 50% sand in a nursery green house. The number of seeds used in each experimental variant was 200.

The observations have been made using the current observation techniques, experimental data processing has been performed using statistical and mathematical methods and those data regarding the production were calculated and interpreted based on variance analysis.

#### **Results and Discussions**

In the first experimental stage, we prepared the seeds using two current methods: stratification and warm water moistening. A synthesis of the experimental

Table 1

Table 2

# Dynamics of seed germination in *Evodia danielii* (Benn.) Hemsl. (Didactic Base Timişoara – 2014)

Preparation	sowing sowed Dynamics of germination in 2014										
method	date	seeds	April	April	April	May	May	May	May	May	May
			18	23	28	3	8	13	18	23	28
a <sub>0</sub> – no preparation seeds (control)	March 24,	200	0	0	0	20	27	26	32	30	25
a <sub>1</sub> – sand stratified seeds	2014	200	0	0	27	34	38	38	43	0	0
a <sub>2</sub> – warm water moistened seeds		200	28	36	43	58	29	0	0	0	0

Seed preparation before sowing with different methods is an alternative to the improvement of its cultural value. Literature presents experimental results of research and use of certain methods separately of the series of quantitative evaluations presented in Table 1.

Germination control was done on April 18, 2014, at an interval of five says (i.e., 25 days after sowing) until May 28, 2014.

The first plantlets sprouted on April 18, 2014, i.e. 25 days after sowing, in the variant a<sub>2</sub>.

In the variant a<sub>1</sub> (seeds stratified in sand) the first plantlets sprouted 35 days after sowing.

Experimental results regarding the percentage of seed germination of the seeds of *Evodia danielii* and the significance of the differences between the experimental variants adopted are shown in Table 2.

Mean values of seed germination in the species *Evodia danielii* (Benn.) Hemsl. (Didactic Base Timişoara – 2014)

Experimental	Comparison to Control 1				Comparison to Control 2				
value	Mean	Relative	Difference	Significance	Mean	Relative	Difference	Significance	
	value	value			value	value			
a <sub>0</sub> – no preparation	79.75	100.00	0.00	-	79.75	89.71	- 9.13	0	
seeds (control 1)									
a <sub>1</sub> – sand stratified	89.75	112.53	10.00	*	89.75	100.96	0.86	-	
seeds									
a <sub>2</sub> – warm water	97.16	121.83	17.41	***	97.16	109.31	8.27	*	
moistened seeds									
Average (control 2)	=	1	-	-	88.88	100.00	0.00	-	
	-	-	-	-	88.88	100.00	0.00	-	

 $LSD_{5\%} = 7.61$   $LSD_{1\%} = 10.82$   $LSD_{0.1\%} = 15.67$ 

After comparing the mean values of the seed germination percentage in the three variants of preparation of the biological material, we see a very significantly positive difference in the variant  $a_2$  (17.41%).

When comparing the experimental variants with the mean of the experiment, we see a positive

difference again in the seed preparation by moistening with warm water, but this is only significant (8.27%). Comparing the seed preparation methods with the two control variants for the number of plantlets, we obtained the differences and significances presented in Table 3.

Table 3

Mean values of the number of seedlings in the species *Evodia danielii* (Benn.) Hemsl.

(Didactic Base Timisoara – 2014)

Experimental	Comparison to Control 1				Comparison to Control 2			
value	Mean	Relative	Difference Significance		Mean	Relative	Difference	Significance
	value	value			value	value		
a <sub>0</sub> – no preparation	159.50	100.00	0.00	-	159.50	89.72	- 18.30	0
seeds (control 1)								
a <sub>1</sub> – sand stratified	179.50	111.13	19.97	*	179.50	101.00	1.72	-
seeds								
a <sub>2</sub> – warm water	194.33	120.31	34.83	***	194.33	109.30	16.60	*
moistened seeds								
Average (control 2)	-	-	-	-	177.77	100.00	0.00	-

 $LSD_{5\%} = 15.23$   $LSD_{1\%} = 21.65$   $LSD_{0.1\%} = 31.35$ 

Multiple analysis of the significance of differences in the two comparison methods shows a similitude with the situations in the seed germination process. Experimental results regarding the influence of seed preparation methods on *Evodia danielii* plant size are presented in Table 4.

Table 4

Mean values of plant height in the seedlings of the species *Evodia danielii* (Benn.) Hemsl.

(Didactic Base Timisoara – 2014)

(Diddette Base Timişodi'a 2011)									
Experimental	Comparison to Control 1				Comparison to Control 2				
value	Mean	Relative	Difference	Significance	Mean	Relative	Difference	Significance	
	value	value			value	value			
a <sub>0</sub> – no preparation	3.57	100.00	0.00	-	3.57	71.67	- 1.41	000	
seeds (control 1)									
a <sub>1</sub> – sand stratified	5.14	143.97	1.57	***	5.14	103.10	0.15	-	
seeds									
a <sub>2</sub> – warm water	6.23	174.50	2.66	***	6.23	125.20	1.25	***	
moistened seeds									
Average (control 2)	=	-	-	-	4.98	100.00	0.00	-	

 $LSD_{5\%} = 0.53 \text{ cm}$   $LSD_{1\%} = 0.75 \text{ cm}$   $LSD_{0.1\%} = 1.09 \text{ cm}$ 

The use of the two seed preparation methods (stratification in the sand and warm water moistening) determines more vigorous plants, with very significant differences compared to the no preparation seeds (between 1.57-2.66 cm).

Seed preparation by warm water moistening influences very significantly plant size (1.25 cm), compared to the mean of the experiment (4.98 cm).

#### **Conclusions**

Based on the experimental results in the generative production of biological material in the species *Evodia danielii* (Benn.) Hemsl., we can draw the following conclusions:

- 1.—seed germination in an interval of 25 days is done when moistening them with warm water;
- 2.—the percentage of germinated seeds and the number of plants is very significant when moistening the biological material with warm water;
- -using the two seed preparation methods (stratification in sand and warm water moistening) determines more vigorous plants.

#### References

1.Burda, Ş. G.; Iliescu, A. F., 2008, Research on the growing and the quality of *Euodia hupehensis* Dode (*Rutaceae*) seedlings, 2008, Lucrări Științifice - Universitatea de Științe Agronomice și Medicină

Veterinară București. Seria B, Horticultură 2008 No. 51 pp. 178-183, ISSN1222-5312.

- 2. Chung, B. S., 1970, 1, 4, Studies on the components of Evodia daniellii Hemsley in Korea. Korean Journal of Pharmacognosy, pp 119-124, 14 ref.
- 3.Duan Da-juan, Zhang Tao, Zhou Xin, Cui Rui-jing, 2007, Study on Seedling Raised from Seed of Evodia daniellii. Northern Horticulture, Issue 3, Page 143-144
- 4.Dumitriu-Tătăranu, I. and col.,1960, Arbori și arbusti forestieri și ornamentali cultivați în R.P.R., Editura Agro-Silvică, pp. 352.
- 5.Edward F. Gilman and Dennis G. Watson, 1993, Fact Sheet ST-242, a series of the Environmental Horticulture Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Publication date: November.
- 6.KATsuyosHI MrrsuNAGA, KAzuo KolKE and TAIcHI OHMoTO, 1991, Constituents of the Bark of Evodia daniellii, Schoot of Pharmaceuticat Seienees, 7bho Uhiversiiy, 2-2-1 Miyama. Fletnabashi, Chtha 274, Japan, Shoyakugaku Zasshi 45(3), 263-265.
- 7.Sang Woo Yoo, Ju Sun Kim,Sam Sik Kang, Kun Ho Son, Hyeun Wook Chang, Hyun Pyo Kim, KiHwan Bae, Chong -Ock Lee, 2002, Constituents of the fruits and leaves of Evodia daniellii, Archives of Pharmacal Research, Volume 25, Issue 6, pp. 824-830.
- 8. Wang Zhen-yi, Duan Da-juan, Yin Hong-yan, Wang Jian-zhao, 2005, Study on breeding of Evodia daniellii. Hebel Journal of Forestry and Orchard Research, Issue 2, pp. 117-119.

56